

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/657,122	09/09/2003	Hank Wang	MR2707-45	9234
75	590 11/17/2005		EXAMINER	
Morton J. Rosenberg			LEE, SEUNG H	
Rosenberg, Klein & Lee 3458 Ellicott Center Drive, Suite 101 Ellicott City, MD 21043			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/657,122	WANG, HANK
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Seung H. Lee	2876
	DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
Period for Reply			
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fr - If NO period for reply is s - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	DNGER, FROM THE MAILING Down the mailing date of this communication. pecified above, the maximum statutory period set or extended period for reply will, by statut	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE ng date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠ This action is 3)□ Since this ap	plication is in condition for allowa	September 2005. s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)		awn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
10) The drawing(s Applicant may Replacement o	not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correct	er. cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obtaining. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgm a) All b) S 1. Certifie 2. Certifie 3. Copies applica	nent is made of a claim for foreign some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority document ed copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority ation from the International Burea	nts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv	ion No ed in this National Stage
	's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/657,122 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the response filed on 06 September 2005, which has been entered in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oldendorf et al. (US 6,166,324, of record)(hereinafter referred to as 'Oldendorf') in view of Bianca et al. (US 6,051,781, of record)(hereinafter referred to as 'Bianca') and Kimura et al. (US 6,633,492)(hereinafter referred to as 'Kimura').

Art Unit: 2876

Re claims 1, 2, 10: Oldendorf teaches that a method for manufacturing a PC card comprises a top and bottom covers (30 and 40) wherein the covers are stamped from the metallic sheet for having the desired specification and having protrusions (55) extending therefrom, a insulative frames (52 and 62) are plastic material in which is insert molded onto the covers using injection molded mechanic, the top and bottom covers are bonded by sonically welding to form a card such as the PC card (see figs. 1-5; col. 1, lines 37-51; col. 2, lines 54-62; col. 3, line 64- col. 6, line 54).

However, Oldendorf fails to particularly teach or fairy suggests that the method comprises transferring of the metal belt and not releasing the covers after stamping process.

Bianca teaches a method and system for creating frames using the sheet of metal wherein the sheet of metal is conveyed through a serious of stamping machine (see figs. 8 and 9; col. 4, lines 44-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Bianca to the teachings of Oldendorf in order to provide an improved manufacturing system for conveying the metal sheet having frame until all the necessary stamping is applied prior to releasing the frame from the metal sheet for combining to form a case of the PC card. Although, Oldendorf as modified by Bianca fail to particularly teach or fairly suggest that the method for printing pattern on the first and the second metal sheet after forming the first and second plastic frames, it would have been an obvious manufacturing variation well within the ordinary skill in the art failing to provide any unexpected results for printing

Art Unit: 2876

pattern on the cover sheet after forming the plastic frames, that is, the printing pattern as shown in figures 1 and 2 of the Oldendorf can be applied after the forming the plastic frames, and therefore an obvious expedient.

Although, Oldendorf/Bianca teaches the method and system for creating frames using the sheet of metal wherein the sheet of metal is conveyed through a serious of stamping machine, they fails to particularly teach or fairly suggest that the plastic frames have the stakes embedded therein.

However, Kimura teaches a device package (10) comprising the frames (16 and 36) have fingers (26 and 46) embedded therein wherein the fingers are extended from the top and bottom covers (12 and 32) (see figs. 1-11; col. 4, line 17-col. 8, lines 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Kimura to the teachings of Oldendorf/Bianca in order to provide a strong and secure package.

Re claims 3, 4, and 6: The top and bottom covers of the PC card also comprises protrusions (55) bended for forming the stakes as shown in figure 5, and the protrusions supports the insulative frames.

Re claims 5 and 7: The top and bottom covers of the PC card also comprise a bent grounding members (35 and 45) for supporting the insulative frames.

Re claim 8: The top and bottom cover and the insulative frames are bonded by sonically welding.

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 9: The metallic sheet for producing the top and bottom covers using the stamping machine also is pre-coated with insulative material (see col. 2, lines 54-62; col. 4,lines 50-67)

Re claims 11, 13, and 15: the teachings of Oldendorf as modified by Bianca and Kimura have been discussed above. Although, Oldendorf as modified by Bianca and Kimura fail to particularly teach or fairly suggest that the card has a particular dimension such as a thickness of the metal shells are not larger than 0.15mm, a thickness of the first plastic frame is not larger than 0.7mm, and the second plastic frame is not larger than 1.4mm, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Oldendorf and modify the thickness of metal sheets and plastic frames in order to manufacture the various card according to their the specification such as a PC card, a Memory Stick, a MMC, a SD card, etc.

Re claims 12: The insulative frames (52 and 62) of Oldendorf forming a recess as shown in figure 5.

4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oldendorf as modified by Bianca and Kimura as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Farquhar et al. (US Re. 36,540, of record)(hereinafter referred to as 'Farquhar').

The teachings of Oldendorf/Bianca/Kimura have been discussed above.

Art Unit: 2876

Although, Oldendorf/Bianca/Kimura teach to bond the plastic frames using sonic welling, they fail to particularly teach or fairly suggest that the plastic frame is bonded by a glue.

However, Farquhar teaches that the adhesives are used for bonding the plastic frames to form a package such as a card (see figs. 1 and 3; col. 3, line 32- col. 4, line 34; claims 1 and 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Farquhar to the teachings of Oldendorf/Bianca/Kimura in order to provide an alternative method for combining the plastic frames using the adhesives such as a glue, in fact, using the glue for combining/bonding the plastic frames are well known in the art.

5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oldendorf as modified by Bianca and Kimura as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Grevstad et al. (US 4,337,571)(hereinafter referred to as 'Grevstad').

The teachings of Oldendorf/Bianca/Kimura have been discussed above.

Although, Oldendorf/Bianca/Kimura teach to insulative films for forming a recess, they fail to particularly teach or fairly suggest that the insulative film comprises a polytetrafluoroethylene material.

However, Grevstad teaches a electrical insulator may be constructed using of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) (see fig. 4; col. 4, lines 35- col. 5, line 11).

Art Unit: 2876

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Grevstad to the teachings of Oldendorf/Bianca/Kimura in order to provide sufficient temperature and chemical compatibility.

6. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oldendorf as modified by Bianca and Kimura as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view of Wallace (US 6,634,561).

The teachings of Oldendorf/Bianca/Kimura have been discussed above.

In addition to the teachings of Kimura as discussed above, he also teaches that the package (10) can have an opening or notch to accommodate a connector for an I/O device (see col. 8, lines 61-64).

However, Oldendorf/Bianca/Kimura fail to particularly teach or fairly suggest that the metal shell is stamped to include an elongate bent extension.

Wallace teaches the memory card structure having the elongate bent extension as shown in figure 1 for function as a connector (see figs. 1-2; col. 1, line 66- col. 2,line 44).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the structure of contacts as shown by Wallace to the teachings of Oldendorf/Bianca/Kimura in order to communicate with other devices via contacts therewith.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to the applicant argument that "Nowhere does Oldendorf et al. disclose or even suggest that such lateral protrusions are in any way actually "embedded" in any first or second plastic frame" (see page 11, line 12+), the Examiner respectfully provide Kimura reference wherein Kimura teaches the fingers serving as stakes are embedded into the plastic frames as discussed in paragraph 3 above.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2876

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seung H. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 AM- 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seung H Lee Art Unit 2876 November 11, 2005

SUPERABORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800